BRANSTETTER, KILGORE, STRANCH & JENNINGS

227 SECOND AVENUE NORTH

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37201-1631 JAN 20 PM 4 C6

TELEPHONE (615) 254-8801

FACSIMILE

January 20, 2000 EXECUTATE SECTION

*ALSO ADMITTED IN GA

R. JAN JENNINGS

CARROL D. KILGORE DONALD L. SCHOLES JAMES G. STRANCH, III JANE B. STRANCH

CECIL D. BRANSTETTER, SR.

C. DEWEY BRANSTETTER, JR. RANDALL C. FERGUSON

David Waddell, Executive Secretary Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Pkwy. Nashville, TN 37243-0505

Hand Delivery

Re:

Petition of Lynwood Utility Corporation to Change and Increase Rates and Charges -

Response to Third Staff Information Request

Docket No. 99-00507

Dear Mr. Waddell:

I have enclosed an original and thirteen copies of the Pre-filed testimony of Davis Lamb. President of Lynwood Utility Corporation for the hearing in this case set for January 26, 2000. I have enclosed an additional copy for you to mark filed and return to me. Thank you for your assistance in this matter

Sincerely yours,

DONALD L. SCHOLES

Enclosure

Vince Williams Michael Horne

Davis Lamb

BKSJ File No.: 99-215



BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUT		
Nashville, Tennessee	460 AW 50	PM 4 06
IN RE: PETITION OF LYNWOOD UTILITY) CORPORATION TO CHANGE AND) INCREASE RATES AND CHARGES)	NO. 99-00507	

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF DAVIS LAMB, PRESIDENT OF LYNWOOD UTILITY CORPORATION

Dated: January 20, 2000



- 1 Q. Please state your name for the record.
- Q. My name is Davis Lamb.
- Q. What is your position with Lynwood Utility Corporation?
- 4 A. President.
- 5 Q. How long have you been President of Lynwood?
- 6 A. Since May of 1999.
- 7 Q. What duties do you perform as President?
- 8 A. I oversee all of the operations of Lynwood. I oversee all regulatory, utility operations,
- 9 utility construction and maintenance, and financial aspects of the Company.
- 10 Q. Are you an employee of Lynwood?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. By whom are you employed?
- 13 A. Smith Crowe Wilson, LLC
- Q. Does Lynwood have any full-time or part-time employees?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. What is the relationship between Lynwood and Smith Crowe Wilson, LLC?
- 17 A. Smith Crowe Wilson, LLC (SCW) is a full service real estate company. SCW is the
- development manager of the River Landing Subdivision for the subdivision's owner,
- 19 Lumbermen's Investment Corporation. The stock of Lynwood is owned by Southern Utility
- 20 Corporation. All of the owners of Southern Utility Corporation are officers or principals of
- 21 Smith Crowe Wilson, LLC.
- Q. Do any other employees with Smith Crowe Wilson, LLC or any related companies do
- work for Lynwood?

- 1 A. No. I am the only employee who currently does work for Lynwood. Other employees of
- 2 SCW do assist in the operations of Lynwood in that they assist in answering the telephone and
- 3 performing other minor clerical duties. Daily monitoring of the sewer treatment plant is
- 4 performed by G. W. (Chip) Willis, III, an independent contractor. Billing and collection work is
- 5 performed by two persons who are hired a few hours each month to receive and post payments
- 6 for service. After the new rates are put into effect and Lynwood reaches an agreement with the
- two water utilities which provide water service to its customers to handle billing and collection
- 8 of sewer bills, Lynwood may not need to hire the two part persons to do the billing and collection
- 9 work.
- 10 Q. What is the business of Southern Utility Corporation?
- 11 A. Southern Utility Corporation was created for the purpose of owning the stock of
- 12 Lynwood Utility Corporation. It currently has no other assets. When it was originally created,
- the owners of Southern Utility Corporation envisioned having the Corporation own and operate
- small privately-owned utilities, but it has not purchased the stock of or assets of any other utility.
- 15 Q. When did Southern Utility Corporation acquire the stock of Lynwood?
- 16 A. May 12, 1999
- 17 Q. Who are the stockholders of Southern Utility Corporation?
- 18 A. Thomas S. Smith, G. Nelson Crowe II, William Dickerson, and Davis Lamb.
- 19 Q. Are these persons principals or employees of SCW?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Why did the owners of Southern Utility Corporation create a separate corporation to own
- the stock of Lynwood rather than purchasing the stock of Lynwood itself?

- Three primary reasons. First, the owners of Southern Utility Corporation created a 1 A. separate corporation to avoid any unknown liabilities that previous owner, David Terry, may 2 have created for which the owners of Lynwood might be individually liable. Second, Lynwood 3 Utility Corporation had already received a permit from the Tennessee Department of 4 5 Environment and Conservation for the sewer plant expansion; therefore, the corporate structure of Lynwood needed to remain in tact so no additional permit would have to be obtained for the 6 7 plant expansion. Third, the owners of Southern Utility Corporation originally intended to 8 acquire or manage private utilities throughout the region and wanted to establish a separate
- Q. Are Lynwood Utility Corporation and Southern Utility Corporations both Subchapter S corporations?
- 12 A. Yes.

holding corporation for doing so.

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. What does this mean in regard to the taxability of the income of these corporations?
- 14 A. Any income of the corporations flows through to the owners of the corporations.
- 15 Q. How did you and Smith Crowe Wilson, LLC first get involved with Lynwood?
 - A. SCW was hired by Lumbermen's Investment Corporation as development manager for a subdivision known as River Landing Subdivision. SCW also has a profit participation in the River Landing development. The property upon which River Landing was to be developed is within the certificated service area of Lynwood Utility Corporation. The availability of sewer service was a prerequisite to Lumbermen's development of the subdivision. As development manager SCW approached Lynwood about providing sewer service to River Landing. At that time David Terry was the President and sole stockholder of Lynwood. Mr. Terry purchased the Lynwood sewer treatment plant and system in 1996. I understand that he purchased the sewer

- system so that he could expand the sewer treatment plant to accommodate a residential
- 2 subdivision which he was developing known as Legends Ridge. SCW on behalf of
- 3 Lumbermen's entered into negotiations with Mr. Terry about expanding the Lynwood sewer
- 4 treatment plant to accommodate the sewer flow from the proposed River Landing Subdivision.
- 9 Q. Were you directly involved with the discussions and negotiations with Lynwood about
- 6 providing sewer service to River Landing on behalf of Lumbermen's?
- 7 A. I am familiar with the negotiations, but the negotiations were primarily done by G.
- 8 Nelson Crowe, II.
- 9 Q. Who was involved in the discussions and negotiations with Lynwood about providing
- sewer service to River Landing on behalf of Lynwood?
- 11 A. David Terry.
- 12 Q. What agreement was eventually reached between Lumbermen's and Lynwood about the
- provision of sewer service to the River Landings Development?
- 14 A. The parties entered into a contract entitled Utilities Agreement dated June 26, 1998,
- which was attached as Exhibit 1 to Lynwood's Petition in this case. SCW was advised that the
- capacity of the Lynwood plant would have to be increased to accommodate the additional
- 17 wastewater from the River Landing Subdivision. Lumbermen's agreed to contribute to Lynwood
- the costs of the construction of the upsizing of the sewer treatment plant necessary to
- 19 accommodate the flow from River Landing. Because Lynwood wanted to go ahead and upgrade
- 20 the plant to its full capacity at the same time it upgraded for River Landing, Lumbermen's agreed
- 21 to finance the expansion of the plant to its full capacity permitted by the State of Tennessee.
- Originally, Lynwood agreed to charge its customers access fees to future lot owners of River
- 23 Landing to reimburse Lumbermen's for the expansion costs.

- 1 Q. Exhibit 1 also has two amendments to the Utilities Agreement. Can you summarize for
- 2 the Authority what happened after the Utilities Agreement was made in regard to the agreement
- 3 by Lynwood and Lumbermen's for the provision of sewer service to River Landing?
- 4 A. After the Utilities Agreement was made, SCW and Lumbermen's became aware that
- 5 Lynwood was in default on a note from First Tennessee Bank in the amount of \$305,000 which
- 6 Lynwood had borrowed to expand the plant to accommodate the sewer flow from Mr. Terry's
- subdivision, Legends Ridge. SCW and Lumbermen's became aware that the expansion costs of
- 8 the plant were going to exceed the original estimates as known at the time of the execution of the
- 9 Utilities Agreement. SCW and Lumbermen's became aware that Lynwood did not have
- sufficient revenues to meet its monthly operating expenses. Lumbermen's entered into the first
- and second amendments to the Utilities Agreement in which it agreed to finance the additional
- expansion costs and to finance the operating shortfalls of Lynwood in operating the plant.
- 13 Lumbermen's anticipated that it would receive reimbursement for the expansion costs and
- expenses incurred in financing the operation of the plant by tap fees charged by Lynwood to
- 15 future customers.
- Q. Why did SCW create Utility Holdings, Inc. to enter into an agreement with Lynwood to
- manage the day-to-day operations of Lynwood in December 16, 1998?
- 18 A. SCW and Lumbermen's became concerned about Lynwood's ability to remain a viable
- entity. After entering into the Second Amendment to the Utilities Agreement with Lynwood on
- December 16, 1998, SCW and Lumbermen's wanted some control over the operations of
- 21 Lynwood and the expansion of the plant. The expansion of the plant was essential to
- Lumbermen's ability to develop the River Landing Subdivision as planned. At that time, SCW
- formed Utility Holdings, Inc. to manage the day-to-day operation of Lynwood under a

- 1 management agreement with Lynwood. When Utility Holdings, Inc. was created the principals
- 2 envisioned the potential to acquire or manage other private utilities throughout the region.
- 3 Q. Who with Utility Holdings, Inc. was involved with the management of Lynwood?
- 4 A. Me.
- What did Utility Holdings, Inc. do to manage the day-to-day operations of Lynwood?
- 6 A. Oversee on-sight management as well as collect payments from customers and pay all
- 7 bills in a timely manner.
- 8 Q. What was the financial condition of Lynwood at that time?
- 9 A. Lynwood had a note of \$305,000 plus accrued interest in default with First Tennessee
- Bank. Lynwood had assigned this note to Lumbermen's Investment Corporation on December
- 11 16, 1998. Lynwood had less than \$20,000 in cash to pay all its operating expenses.
- 12 Q. Can you summarize the financial problems you observed with Lynwood at that time?
- 13 A. Lynwood's monthly income did not cover its monthly obligations. It became apparent
- why the plant had suffered from such extreme neglect because its revenue was not high enough
- to meet even the most basic obligations. Major expenses such as insurance, franchise and excise
- taxes, tax preparation fees, property taxes, rate case expenses, and sludge disposal could not be
- paid from revenue received from existing rates.
- 18 Q. How were the operating expenses and bills of Lynwood being paid at that time?
- 19 A. Operating expenses were being paid out of Lynwood's bank account. The large invoices
- as described above simply could not be paid.
- Q. When Lynwood did not have sufficient income to meet these expenses, how were these
- being paid?

- 1 A. Lumbermen's has loaned Lynwood money to ensure that the essential expenses were paid
- and that no liens were filed on the utility's sewer facilities. Lumbermen's loaned this money to
- 3 Lynwood with the understanding that Lynwood would work expeditiously to adjust the rate
- 4 structure so that all obligations could be met without borrowing money.
- 5 Q. What was the condition of the Lynwood sewer treatment plant at the time Utility
- 6 Holdings began managing Lynwood?
- 7 A. The Phase I expansion from 125,000 to 200,000 gallons per day (gpd) was operational.
- The expansion had not been adequately funded so the plant was operational but many final items
- 9 were incomplete. The plant still showed evidence of 20 years of neglect such as bare electrical
- wires, outdated equipment, collapsed railing, and many other items. The plant had been cited by
- the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation for violations of its permit.
- 12 Q. What was the status of the expansion of Lynwood plant to serve Legends Ridge
- subdivision and the River Landing Subdivision?
- 14 A. It was operational to serve all of Legends Ridge and 62 additional lots which had been
- dedicated to River Landing.
- 16 Q. Who was the owner of the Legends Ridge Subdivision?
- 17 A. David Terry.
- Q. What expansion of the Lynwood plant was necessary to serve the Legends Ridge
- 19 subdivision?
- A. In order to serve the anticipated 150 new homes in Legends Ridge, the plant needed to be
- expanded to treat an additional 52,500 gpd (based on 350 gpd/unit). The Phase I expansion of
- the plant increased the capacity by 75,000 gpd from 125,000 gpd to 200,000 gpd.

- 1 Q. What additional expansion was going to be necessary to serve the River Landing
- 2 subdivision?
- 3 A. River Landing was able to use the remaining 21,700 gpd that was part of the Phase I
- 4 expansion. The River Landing Subdivision had a total of 187 lots, 62 of which could be served
- 5 in Phase I, so an additional 43,750 gpd of capacity was needed. The Phase II Expansion of the
- 6 plant increased its capacity from 200,000 gpd to 400,000 gpd; 43,750 gallons or 22% of this
- 7 expansion was required by the River Landing Subdivision.
- 8 Q. Why was it vital to Lumbermen's that the Lynwood sewer plant be expanded to serve the
- 9 River Landing Subdivision?
- 10 A. Lumbermen's acquired the property to be developed as River Landing based on the
- capacity availability letter provided to them from Lynwood under the ownership of David Terry.
- Without sanitary sewer, the subdivision could not have been developed as planned.
- Q. Can you summarize the agreement between Lumbermen's and Lynwood on the financing
- of the capital improvements for Lynwood to make sewer service available for River Landing?
- 15 A. Lumbermen's agreed to make an outright contribution of \$324,200.00 to the plant
- expansion. This number was calculated based on the estimated cost with providing capacity to
- 17 River Landing Subdivision only. Lumbermen's further agreed to advance funds for the
- expansion with repayment in the form of tap fees from future users.
- 19 Q. Can you tell the Authority how the capital improvements which Lumbermen's is actually
- financing or has agreed to finance has changed for Lynwood since Lumbermen's and Lynwood
- 21 first entered into the Utilities Agreement?
- 22 A. The original cost of the plant expansions increased. In addition to the expansions
- themselves, a number of capital improvements to the existing plant have been required to bring it

- into compliance with the regulations and standards of the Tennessee Department of Environment
- and Conservation. SCW and Lumbermen's also discovered that funds David Terry had
- 3 borrowed on behalf of Lynwood from First Tennessee for the Phase 1 expansion were not being
- 4 used for that purpose.
- Why did Southern Utility Corporation decide to buy the stock of Lynwood on May 12,
- 6 1999?
- 7 A. To secure absolute control over the sanitary sewer provider for the River Landing
- 8 Subdivision. This initiative effectively stopped Lynwood from incurring additional debts,
- 9 commitments or obligations without the knowledge and consent of Lumbermen's.
- 10 Q. What did you do after you became President of Lynwood?
- 11 A. Since managing the plant from late December 1998, I had already learned that the
- treatment plant did not generate the necessary revenue to meet its financial obligations. I
- immediately sought to establish a new rate structure that would protect Lynwood's ability to
- continue to operate without filing bankruptcy. I also learned that Mr. Terry had not required the
- owners of lots in Legends Ridge to pay the tap fee for service set forth in Lynwood's tariff. I
- was advised that Mr. Terry had "waived" the tap fee. I immediately contacted the County and
- put procedures in place to make sure sewer service was not established without the payment of
- the tap fee.
- 19 Q. Can you summarize for the Authority the operating expenses and bills which
- Lumbermen's has paid or is currently paying for Lynwood?
- A. During 1999, Lumbermen's loaned Lynwood \$23,252.18 to pay operating expenses such
- as franchise and excise taxes, property taxes, insurance, and sludge disposal that Lynwood did
- 23 not have the resources to pay.

- 1 Q. What is the current financial condition of Lynwood?
- 2 A. I have attached as Exhibit 1 to my testimony the profit and loss statement of Lynwood for
- 3 1999 submitted in response to the Staff's most recent request dated January 10, 2000. Based
- 4 upon the actual revenue and expenses for 1999, Lynwood will show a net loss of \$139,351 for
- 5 the 1999 calendar year.
- 6 Q. Why did Lynwood file its rate increase petition on July 15, 1999?
- A. After I became President of Lynwood on May 12, 1999, I saw the immediate need for
- 8 increased revenue because Lynwood was not able to meet its operating expenses. Lynwood's
- 9 last rate case was in 1986, and it has had no rate adjustment since. Current monthly sewer rates
- are flat rates based upon the number of bedrooms per residence. I felt that sewer rates based
- upon water usage was a better and more accurate method of charging Lynwood customers for
- their sewer service. In addition, Lynwood has undertaken an expansion of its sewer plant to
- serve both the Legends Ridge Subdivision and River Landing Subdivision. The costs of these
- expansions are substantial. New rates became necessary to cover the new depreciation expense
- related to these expansions and to provide the owner of Lynwood a return on this new investment
- in sewer plant.
- 17 Q. In addition to the expansions necessary to serve the Legends Ridge and River Landing
- subdivisions, what other capital improvements have been or are going to be made to the sewer
- 19 plant?
- A. We have added alarms to the clarifiers and pumps, reworked concrete joints, stabilized
- 21 handrails, reworked electrical lines, replaced a check valve and impellers at the pump station,
- corrected dead areas at dosing, and we will be repairing the existing collection system lines
- which have 32 service areas subject to infiltration and one section of main line that needs repair.

- 1 Q. Why are these other capital improvements necessary?
- 2 A. The Lynwood sewer treatment plant was built in the late 1970s. Very little improvements
- had been made to the plant. Regular repair and maintenance items which should have been done
- by previous owners was neglected. Lynwood's consulting engineer recommended these
- 5 improvements, and they are necessary to keep the plant functioning as required by the
- 6 Department of Environment and Conservation.
- 7 Q. Have you prepared Exhibits showing the need for increased rates as requested in the
- 8 Petition?
- 9 A. Yes. Exhibit 2 is a projected Revenue and Expense Statement for the year 2000 calendar
- year which shows the revenue needs of Lynwood.
- 11 Q. Is Exhibit 2 different from the financial exhibit filed with the rate petition?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Can you explain why the financial exhibits are different?
- 14 A. When Exhibit 2 was prepared, I had several more months of actual experience with the
- utility, the revenues and expenses in Exhibit 2 more accurately reflect the revenues and expenses
- Lynwood can anticipate for the 2000 test year than when the exhibits attached to the Petition
- 17 were prepared. Since the rate petition was filed, I have met with Dan McCormac and other
- employees of the Consumer Advocate's Division concerning this rate petition. Certain changes
- have been made based upon my discussions with the Consumer Advocate. In addition, I have
- learned a significant amount about the way rates are set for a regulated utility since I became
- President of Lynwood, and certain changes have been made based upon what I have learned.
- Q. Can you explain how Exhibit 2 was prepared?

- 1 A. The revenues for the year 2000 test year were developed by obtaining the water usage of the District's customers from the City of Franklin or H. B. & T. S. Utility District in 1999. The 2 proposed rates for water service were then applied to the actual usage. The homes of some of the 3 customers of Legends Ridge were and are currently being built. Some of the Legends Ridge 4 customers had very high months of usage and some very low months of usage. These highs and 5 lows were attributable to builders using large amounts of water for building purposes in some 6 months and months in which water was turned on but not being used. Abnormally high and 7 8 abnormally low readings were not used in the projection.
- Operating expenses were derived by looking at the actual expenses in 1999 and incorporating any known changes to the historical amount which would occur in 2000.
- Q. Can you explain how you arrived at the contract management expense of \$12,000 and the contract clerical expense of \$3,000?
- 13 A. I have tried to estimate the time which I will spend in overseeing the operations of
 14 Lynwood and the time needed by other employees of SCW. This amount does not nearly cover
 15 my time in overseeing Lynwood since I became President. I have spent a great deal of time
 16 trying to figure out the complete financial picture of Lynwood, working on the rate petition,
 17 overseeing the construction of the plant expansion and several other items which may not be
 18 representative of my time in the future.
- Q. Can you explain the contract processing fee expense of \$25,157?
- A. I have contacted the two water utilities which provide water service to the customers of
 Lynwood about billing and collecting Lynwood's sewer charges with the customers' water bills.
 These two water utilities are the City of Franklin and H. B. & T. S. Utility District. When this
- arrangement was proposed, Franklin suggested that its compensation for the billing and

- 1 collection be 7.5% of the sewer bill. This percentage is the percentage that Franklin is charged
- 2 by two other water utilities in Williamson County which bill Franklin's sewer charges in areas
- where Franklin only provides sewer service and other utilities provide water service. An
- 4 agreement has been signed with Franklin and a copy of it is attached as Exhibit 3 to my
- 5 testimony. No agreement has yet been signed with H. B. & T. S. Utility District.
- 6 Q. How would Lynwood benefit from having Franklin and H. B. & T. S. Utility District bill
- 7 and collect its sewer charges?
- 8 A. Two ways. First, Lynwood would be relieved of the administrative responsibilities of
- billing its customers and receiving and posting payments received from each customer. Under
- the proposed arrangement with the water utilities, Lynwood would receive one check a month for
- all sewer payments received for the previous month. Second, Lynwood really has no effective
- way to enforce the nonpayment of sewer charges by cutting off sewer services. The water
- utilities have agreed to cut off water service for nonpayment of sewer service. Such termination
- of water service for nonpayment of sewer service will prevent Lynwood from having large
- outstanding balances from some customers which it presently experiences.
- Q. What is the status of Lynwood obtaining a billing and collection agreement from H. B. &
- T. S. Utility District?
- 18 A. Lynwood has still not obtained a billing and collection agreement from H. B. & T. S.
- 19 Utility District. The District has expressed some hesitancy about billing for Lynwood because
- 20 the District is concerned that the District may in some way be blamed for the increase in the
- sewer rate. Since Franklin has now signed a billing and collection agreement, I believe that the
- District will be more inclined to work with Lynwood. The District's Board of Commissioners
- will meet on January 26, 2000, and I have requested that the Board consider approving an

- agreement identical to the agreement signed by Franklin. In the event the District does not agree
- to the billing and collection agreement, Lynwood will bill its customers by obtaining water meter
- readings for its customers from the District and applying the new rates placed into effect.
- 4 Q. Describe how the rate base was developed for Lynwood?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I have attached as Exhibit 4 the calculation of the rate base for Lynwood. Before 1997, A. the Company had not made material utility plant additions for many years. I began by taking the amount of the net sewer plant in service on December 31, 1995. Plant additions in 1997, 1998, and 1999 were added to it. I then took the estimated plant additions scheduled for 2000 and divided it by one-half to get an amount representative for the test year of the 2000 calendar year. No additional capital improvements are planned past 2000. Depreciation for the plant additions since 1997 is straight line depreciation based upon a 20 year life. For plant in service before 1996, I have used the annual depreciation amount used for the 1995 calendar year of \$12,651. This rate of depreciation appears to be based upon a life of the plant of approximately 28 years of the gross plant in service as of December 31, 1995. Rather than change the rate which had been used on plant in service before 1996, I have used the same annual depreciation rate for plant in service before 1996 which has previously been used. For the year 2000 and forward, the plant in service on which annual depreciation is based has been reduced for contributions in aid of construction anticipated for these years as was suggested by the Consumer Advocate. The calculation of the annual depreciation used in developing the rate base is attached as Exhibit 5.

The net plant in service has been reduced for the year 2000 and forward for tap fees that will be booked as contributions in aid of construction and for the contribution in aid of construction of the River Landing development by Lumbermen's. All tap fees paid by new customers will be booked as a contribution in aid of construction. The contributions in aid of

- 1 construction of the River Landing subdivision will be booked as each lot in River Landing is
- closed. River Landing has 187 lots. Therefore, 1/187 of the \$324,200.00 contribution of
- 3 Lumbermen's will be booked as lots in River Landing are closed. The contributions in aid of
- 4 construction incorporated in Exhibit 4 are based upon a projection of sales of lots in the Legends
- Ridge and River Landing Subdivisions from 2000 through 2005. Exhibit 4 shows a projection of
- 6 the rate base through 2005.
- 7 Collective Exhibit 6 shows the projected revenues and expenses for the year 2001
- 8 through 2005 and the revenue deficiency of Lynwood for these years even with the rates
- 9 requested.
- Q. The plant in service which you have for the calendar years 1997 through 1998 is different
- from the net plant in service contained in Lynwood's annual reports for these years. Can you
- explain why?
- 13 A. Yes. Lynwood Utility Corporation purchased the assets of Lynwood Utility Company n
- 14 1996. The Tennessee Public Service Commission approved this purchase by order dated June
- 27, 1996. In that order the TPSC acknowledged that Lynwood agreed that it would not seek a
- rate increase from the TPSC to recoup the difference in the \$500,000 purchase price for the
- assets and the net book value of the Lynwood's assets. Nevertheless, the annual report for the
- 18 1996 calendar years shows plant additions of \$500,000 for 1996 which was carried forward in
- the 1997 and 1998 reports which is related to this purchase price. I have made an adjusting entry
- 20 to the Lynwood's accounts to correct this erroneous entry in 1996. The rate base upon which
- Lynwood seeks the rates sought in this petition does not include the \$500,000 purchase price.
- Q. How did you arrive at a rate of return of 8% on the Company's rate base?

- 1 A. I learned from meeting with the Consumer Advocate that Lynwood will not be able to
- 2 recover the interest paid on the note to Lumbermen's by showing interest due and payable as an
- 3 expense. The interest on this note is 9.5%. Lynwood is entitled to earn a return on its
- 4 investment in the expanded sewer plant which will be used to reimburse Lumbermen's for its
- loans to Lynwood. I have used an 8% return. Although the 8% return is less than what would be
- anticipated on an investment with similar risk, the economics of the rates necessary to produce a
- 7 greater return will only support this level of return.
- 8 Q. From the information you have submitted in response to the Staff Request, the rates
- 9 requested will triple the rate of the average residential customer of Lynwood. How can such an
- increase be justified?
- 11 A. While the increase is large, the requested increase still does not cover Lynwood's full
- cost of service. For the year 2000 test year, Lynwood projects that its revenue requirement will
- be \$424,075, and the rates requested will produce revenues of only \$335,024. This trend will
- 14 continue at least through 2003. Beginning in 2004 the profit and loss projections show that the
- requested rates will begin to cover the cost of service. The projections, however, do not include
- any increase in expenses which will probably occur by that date.
- 17 Q. Why have you not included tap fees as operating revenue?
- 18 A. After meeting with the Consumer Advocate, I discovered that tap fees should be treated
- as contributions in aid of construction rather than operating revenue. I learned that tap fees in the
- Company's last rate case were set to provide additional revenue. Because of the major plant
- expansion, the Consumer Advocate suggested that tap fees be recorded as contributions in aid of
- 22 construction.

- 1 Q. Why did the Company decide to expand the plant to its total capacity which actually
- 2 exceeds the amount of capacity needed to serve the Legends Ridge and River Landing
- 3 developments?
- 4 A. The cost to expand the plant to its total capacity was not significantly greater than the
- 5 costs to expand the plant to accommodate the projected sewer flows from Legends Ridge and
- 6 River Landing developments. In addition, three subdivisions near Lynwood have expressed a
- desire to have Lynwood treat their wastewater. These subdivisions are Farmington, Meadow
- 8 Green, and Hillsboro Acres which consist of a total of approximately 440 homes. These
- 9 subdivisions are currently on septic tanks several of which are failing. They are seeking funding
- from the county to install a collection system and transmission system to transport sewer flow to
- 11 Lynwood. No firm plans have been made by the county yet to fund such a collection and
- transmission system. Should such funding be obtained Lynwood will be able to accept such flow
- for treatment due to the expansion to its full capacity. In addition, the potential does exist for
- future development in Lynwood's service area. While no project has been planned, Lynwood
- will be ready to treat flow from any additional planned development within its certificated area
- should that occur.
- 17 Q. When would Lynwood like new rates approved by the Authority to go into effect?
- 18 A. Lynwood would like to place the new rates into effect for bills rendered on or after
- 19 February 1, 2000. Lynwood filed its petition on July 15, 1999, and its petition and revised tariff
- will have been filed for six months on January 15, 2000.
- Q. Have you suggested any changes in the rules and regulations of the tariff since it was
- filed with the Petition?

- 1 A. Lynwood is requesting that the section on contributions in aid of construction be
- 2 amended to provide that all contributions which may be treated as taxable income by the IRS be
- 3 increased by a cash flow amount of 33%. The existing tariff provides that all contributions in aid
- 4 of construction be increased by a cash flow amount of 33%. This change was made at the
- 5 suggestion of the Consumer Advocate.
- 6 Q. After the petition was filed, you filed an Amendment to Petition requesting the approval
- of the issuance of the note to First Tennessee Bank for \$305,000 which has now been assigned to
- 8 Lumbermen's Investment Corporation and of the Utilities Agreement between Lumbermen's and
- 9 Lynwood with its Amendments. Why was this Amendment filed?
- 10 A. In my first meeting with the representatives of the Consumer Advocate, Dan McCormac
- suggested that we might want to file such an Amendment. Our attorney suggested that we file
- the Amendment so that the Authority would have full disclosure of the agreements Lynwood had
- made to obtain funds for the plant expansions.
- 14 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 15 A. Yes it does.

Lynnwood Utility District 1999 Profit and Loss Projection Based on Current Rate Structure

•				•	i Vi							,	7000
	lan	웹	Mar	Apr	May	- 패	'	- Aug	Sep	8	NON I		Total
Neverlues: Sewer Fees:	•		;			i	;	;	;		:		
(a) Cottonwood Residents (b) Legends Ridge Residents	7314 7007	7007	7,314	700	7,31 4 928	7,31 4 928	7,314	7,31 4 943	7,31 4 959	7,314	7,314	7,314	87,768 10.453
	93	8 5	8 5	82	82	88	8	8	8	8 5	8 5	8	1,116
(a) Walliat Slove Elent. School (e) River Landing Residents	è -	ò	0	ē	è °	ò 0	ē °	è a	è o	è °	è 0	è 0	44 4 C
Interest Income													
Total Revenues	8,894	8,894	8,894	8.894	9,122	9.122	9.122	9.137	9,153	9.168	9.183	9 198	108.781
Actual Revenues by Month	9,275	8,853	8,890	8,867	9,194	9,129	9,196	9,342	9,281	9,293	9,310	9,296	109,906
Expenses:													
Operating:	4	9	Š		4	9	9	000	9	(,		
Vaste Valer Heatment Operater Laboratory Analysis	2,500	82, 82	250	000,	175	0,200 0,27	906,1	200,000	0.00 0.00 0.00	730	006,1	906,7	18,000
Plant Supplies	252	272	62	138	۵	168	0	0	0	0	0	25	944
Chlorine	614	797	498	214	0 00	1,155	333	893	372	0 (236	927	6,004
Studge Usposal	-	- C	5,983 123	-	8,778 6,728	5,833	8,558 C	9 C 8 8 8	3,607	2 643	3,836	4,295	38,308
Property Taxes	0	0	7,496	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,496
State Franchise & Excise	0 !	1,833	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	1,833
Electricity for Plant	1,977	1,886	3,732	2,340	0 0	2,222	4,739	355	2,342	00	2,177	4,251	26,021
Telephone	738	; °	<u>4</u>	28	0	128	ဗ ထ	8	67	o vo	75	133	100
Water for Plant	1,238	1,562	2,770	0	1,664	1,446	2,940	1,559	1,249	0	1,353	3,140	18,921
Water for Pump Stations	4 8 4	00	9 00	ω ç	0 6	15	7 4	7 2	60 C	00	~ 0	ēο	82
Repair & Maintenance:	2	•	3	8	3	3	8	2	>	5	>	>	4,273
Building	0 (0 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equipment	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 (0 0	0 (0 (0 (0	0 (0 (
Pump Station	0	0	- 0	- ₂₉	- 0	- 0	4 35 °	- 0	0	00	8 °	00	9 28
Total Operating Expenses	9,640	8,601	22,470	5,461	10,747	14,875	19,542	10,710	10,277	6,368	10,641	15,108	144,440
Net Results From Operations	-746	293	-13,576	3,433	-1,625	-5,753	-10,420	-1,573	-1,124	2,800	-1,458	-5,910	-35,659
Office and Overhead:													
Contract Office Expenses	447	382	237	154	1 34	392	8,	445	٥ ،	112	214	38	2,748
Office Supplies	0		37	0 0	28.0	o 0	0	0	0	. 4 <u>.</u>	00	. 66	3,000 2,800 2,800
Postage & Delivery	0	46	98	33	0	83	0	0	0	0	0	٣	181
Printing & Reproduction	331	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 6	0 0	٥	0 0	0 (0 (0 (331
Licenses & Fees	30	30	317	0	è o	1.250	00	90	0	- 0	- c	5 C	3,934
Membership Dues	105	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	. 0	165
Miscellaneous Debt Carries principal	0 0	7 7	താ	တ္တင	-138	00	00	00	0 0	00	0 0	0 0	,
Debt Service - Interest	0	0 0	0	0	2.470	2.438	2.405	2 372	2 339	2306	277.0	2,28	18.840
Depreciation - Plant & Equipment	4,844	4,844	4,844	4,844	4,844	4,844	4,844	4,844	4,844	4,844	4,844	4,844	58,126
Total Office and Overhead	8,927	8,509	9,177	5,126	7,706	11,157	7,329	7,961	7,410	7,483	7,497	7,550	95,830
	200	477 47											
rotal Expenses	18,567	טרר, יר	31,64/	. /80 01	18,453	26,032	26,871	18,671	17,687	13,851	18,138	22,658	240,270
Net Income	-9,673	-8,216	-22,753	-1,693	-9,331	-16,910	-17,749	-9,534	-8,534	-4,683	-8,955	-13,460	-131,489
Add Back: Deprectation Expense Amortization Expense	4,844 0	4,844 0	4,844 0	4,844 0	4,844 0	4,844 0	4,844 0	4,844 0	4,844	4,844 167	4,844	4,844	58,126 688
								'	:				
Total Cash Flow	-4,829	-3,372	-17,909	3,151	-4,487	-12,066	-12,905	-4,690	-3,523	328	-3,944	-8,449	-72,695

Lynnwood Utility District 2000 Profit and Loss Projection Based on Proposed Rate Structure Of :005766 per Gallon

	20,634 1,799 171	20,709 1,719 788	Mar 25,056 1,750 780	Apr 19,793 1,878 164	May 22,191 3,620 183	22,902 4,394 189	23,576 3,080 195	Aug 25,643 3,983 212	26,978 2,936 2,23	24,722 4,882 204	Nov 22,783 2,869 188	26,090 3,266 216	2000 Total 281,077 35,978 2,323
787 43		788 88 71,5	156	204	321	426	536	789 988	836	98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 9	797 884	1,078	9,510 6,136
				636,33	8	50,703	78,180	176,16	39/16	31,273	27,531	31,448	335,024
1,800		1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	21,600
1,000 150		1,000 150	1,000 150	00, 02, 05	00,1 031	1,000 150	1,000 150	1,000	1,000	1,000 150	00,1 00,1	000,1	12,000
1,000 3,150		1,000 3,150	3,150	3,150	3,150	3,150	1,000	1,000	1,000	000,	1,000	1,000	12,000
0		, 0 0		0 8	}	<u>;</u>	, ,	0. 0	0.15 0	0,000,8	0.CT,0	3,150 0	37,800 6,000
5,432		> 0	-0	9,000 0	00	00	00	00	٥ ٥	00	00	00	9,000
00		00	0 086	00	0 0	00		. 0 0	. • •		, 0 (00	70.
3,000		300°	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	980 36,000
<u> </u>		8 8	3 5	2 5	ද දි	8 <u>5</u>	ස දි	8 8	8 5	8 <u>5</u>	8 5	8 5	720
2,000		2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	24,000
300 =		2 OS	300 200 200	300	300	300	2 8	300 300	a 88	30 30 30	300	300 300	192 3 500
388		389	389	389	389	388	389	389	360	390	98	200	4 672
407 259		407 259	407 259	259	407	407	407	407	407	404	704	407	4,884
135	- 1	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	3,108
20.	, ,	20,100	4,730	67,70	13,700	13,/86	13,766	13,766	13,767	19,787	13,767	13,767	186,618
4,236	- 1	9.707	13,201	63	13,340	14,937	14,414	17,555	18,001	11,506	13,764	17,681	148,406
¢		,											
1,758		1,760	2,097	1,712	2,033	2.153	2.114	2.349	2.383	2345	0 0	0 350	05 427
00.5		90,5	00.5	000,	00,	00,	00,1	00,	000	1,000	1,000	1,000	12,000
30		3 -	3 0	80	7 0	8 0	90	200	200	220	280	220	3,000
0 8		٥ ۾	- 8	۰;	0	0	0	0	0		. 0		0
ə o		6 0	5 5	6 c	1 8	8 5	92 0	8 c	9 5	28	186	8 8	472
320		0	0	0	0	0	. 0		9 0	0	0	2 22	8 5
0.5		210	700	2,000	2,000	0 0	۰ ،	0 (0 1	0	0	0	5,120
110		0	30		0	0	0	00	- K	- c		0 0	333
0		0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0		0	0	• •	30
00		0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 (0 (0	0	0	0	0
7,756		7,756	7,756	7,756	7,756	7,756	7,756	7,756	7,756	7,756	7,756	0 2,756	0 93,076
	11	11,170	12,480	12,912	13,232	11,483	11,313	11,548	11,788	11,545	11,424	167	2,004
30,824	1 1	24,936	27,216	35,678	26,998	25,249	25,079	25,314	25,555	31,312	25,191	26,157	329,509
-7,391		-1,463	741	-12,848	108	3,454	3,101	900'9	6,213	65	2,340	5.291	5.515
													94,565
7,756		7,756	7,756	7,756	7,758	7,756	7,756	7,756	7,756	7,756	7,756	7,756	93,076
10/		16/	16/	167	167	167	167	167	187	167	167	187	2,004

CONTRACT FOR THE COLLECTION OF SEWER SERVICE CHARGES

This contract is made this the 14th day of <u>December</u>, 1999, by and between Lynwood Utility Company, Inc., hereinafter called "LYNWOOD" and the City of Franklin, Tennessee, hereinafter called "FRANKLIN", which, in consideration of the mutual promises and covenants made herein, agree as follows:

- 1. LYNWOOD has constructed and operates a central sewerage and wastewater collection system within an area in which FRANKLIN provides water service. LYNWOOD has requested and FRANKLIN has agreed to bill and collect sewer service charges for LYNWOOD from its customers who receive water service from FRANKLIN.
- 2. LYNWOOD will provide its sewer service rate schedule to FRANKLIN in writing, as amended from time to time, thirty (30) days in advance of its effective date to allow FRANKLIN time to modify its computer billing system.
- 3. FRANKLIN will supply to LYNWOOD any changes to FRANKLIN's billing policies or related fees that would affect LYNWOOD's sewer customers sixty (60) days in advance of the effective date to allow LYNWOOD time to modify its rules & regulations, if necessary, and to file any necessary tariff with the Tennessee Regulatory Authority.
- 4. Upon request, FRANKLIN will provide to LYNWOOD a listing of LYNWOOD's customers who receive water service from FRANKLIN, together with each customer's average monthly water consumption, for purposes of establishing and monitoring LYNWOOD's sewer service rates.
- 5. LYNWOOD's sewer service rate schedule shall in all cases be multiplied by the amount billed by FRANKLIN in the current billing cycle for water service, inclusive of any meter adjustments or other adjustments for current or prior billing cycles, consistent with FRANKLIN's normal policies and procedures for such adjustments, and exclusive of any sales taxes on such water service. FRANKLIN shall compute and bill to each of LYNWOOD's sewer customers for the resulting sewer service charge.
- 6. FRANKLIN will render combined statements for its water service charges and LYNWOOD's sewer service charges in accordance with FRANKLIN's normal billing cycle(s). FRANKLIN will cause to be printed on its billing statement the name, address and telephone number of the LYNWOOD office and LYNWOOD's sewer customers will be instructed to contact LYNWOOD directly concerning complaints and maintenance of the sewer system.
- In the event a LYNWOOD sewer customer does not pay its sewer service charges when due, FRANKLIN agrees to enforce the collection of the sewer charges in the

same manner as FRANKLIN enforces the collection of its water service charges. Such enforcement of collection shall include mailing of late notices, assessing late charges (or disallowing discounts) and, when appropriate, cutting off water and sewer service to that customer until such time as full payment is made by that customer. FRANKLIN shall be entitled to retain one hundred percent (100%) of all water cut off and reconnection charges assessed and collected from LYNWOOD's sewer customers as a result of non-payment or other breach of contract.

- 8. On or before the twentieth (20th) day of each month, FRANKLIN will deliver to LYNWOOD the gross amount FRANKLIN has collected from LYNWOOD's sewer customers through the last day of the previous month, less a service fee equal to seven and one-half percent (7.5%) of the gross amount collected, which sum shall be retained as the sole and separate property of FRANKLIN for providing the services agreed upon in this contract.
- 9. FRANKLIN will provide to LYNWOOD with its monthly remittance one or more monthly reports which show for each LYNWOOD customer the customer's account number, the customer's name, the service address and the amounts billed and/or collected on behalf of LYNWOOD for sewer service charges. The totals per this report(s) shall equal the gross amount due LYNWOOD in accordance with this contract. It shall be the responsibility of LYNWOOD to reconcile the monthly report to its records and to notify FRANKLIN of any billing discrepancies discovered on a timely basis.
- LYNWOOD shall pay to FRANKLIN the full cost for setup and programming of FRANKLIN's billing system necessary to implement this agreement.
- 11. FRANKLIN will refer to LYNWOOD any inquiries regarding new sewer service in LYNWOOD's area of service. LYNWOOD will determine if a new sewer customer will be accepted for connection to its sewer and wastewater collection system. If accepted, LYNWOOD will collect the appropriate sewer tap fees, connection fees and/or inspection fees and will provide the new sewer customer with a receipt and authorization form.
- 12. FRANKLIN and LYNWOOD will establish a combined application and contract form for water and sewer service. FRANKLIN may accept applications and contracts on behalf of LYNWOOD for any transfers of existing sewer service. FRANKLIN may accept applications and contracts for new sewer service only upon presentation of a valid receipt and authorization form for new sewer service from LYNWOOD. FRANKLIN shall maintain in its files copies of all such applications and contracts for new & transferring customers. Upon termination of this contract, or upon request from time to time by LYNWOOD, FRANKLIN will supply LYNWOOD with copies of such applications and contracts. FRANKLIN shall retain one hundred percent (100%) of its application & connection fees for new & transferring customers.

- 13. FRANKLIN shall have no duty to repair or maintain any portion of LYNWOOD's sewer system except by separate agreement between the parties.
- 14. The parties agree to cooperate fully in exchanging information and implementing procedures to fully implement the intent of this contract. LYNWOOD shall have access to the books of FRANKLIN concerning the administration of this contract from time to time as LYNWOOD sees fit upon reasonable notice to FRANKLIN of its intent to do so.
- 15. Before FRANKLIN incorporates LYNWOOD's sewer service rates, rules and regulations in its billing as contemplated herein, LYNWOOD shall obtain the approval of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority of a revised tariff incorporating such rates, rules and regulations and shall notify FRANKLIN in writing upon receipt of such approval.
- 16. This contract may be terminated by either party by the giving of ninety (90) days written notice to the other party.

WITNESS the execution hereof this day and date first above written.

LYNWOOD UTILITY COMPANY, INC.

y: 11 11 V

5214 Maryland Way, Suite 405

Brentwood, TN 37027

CITY OF FRANKLN, TENNESSEE

By:

Jerry Sharber, Mayor

City Hall - 109 3rd Avenue South

Franklin, TN 37064

ATTEST-

By: Unne

Annual Depreciation Accumulated Depreciation	12,651 260,114	12,651 272,765	15,387 288,152	44,771 332,923	65,989 398,912	77,843 477,647.00	93,076 572,086.00	82,663 657,474.00	72,250 733,812.00	61,837 801,099.00	51,699 859,516.00	41,973 909,332.00
Gross Plant as of end of 1995 Projected Gross Plant Accumulated Depreciation Net Plant Contribution in Aid of Construction Accumulated Contrib. In Aid of Constr. Rate Base = Net Plant in Service Interest Rate Interest Rate NO! = Net Income - Interest	355,874 355,874 260,114 95,760 0	355,874 272,765 83,109 0	410,586 288,152 122,434 0	998,270 332,923 665,347 0	1,422,636 398,912 1,023,724 0	1,797,636 477,647 1,319,989 -137,924 -137,924 1,182,065 8.0%	2,172,636 572,086 1,600,550 -208,261 -208,261 1,392,290 8.0%	2,172,636 657,474 1,515,162 -208,261 -416,521 1,098,641 87,891	2,172,636 733,812 1,438,824 -208,261 -624,782 814,042 8.0%	2,172,636 801,099 1,371,537 -208,261 -833,043 538,494 8.0%	2,172,636 859,516 1,313,120 -202,761 -1,035,803 277,317 8.0%	2,172,636 909,332 1,263,304 -194,511 -1,230,314 32,990 8,0% 2,639
River Landing Units per year Legends Ridge Units per year						3 20	30	30	8 4	8 4	30	99

ANNUAL DEPRECIATION FOR RATEMAKING

Depreciation of Plant Place in Service after 1995	01~Jul-00	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2002
Original Cost of Plant Accumulated Contributions in Aid of Construction Total Plant Subject to Depreciation	1,441,763 (137,924) 1,303,839	1,816,763 (208,261) 1,608,502	1,816,763 (416,521) 1,400,242	1,816,763 (624,782) 1,191,981	1,816,763 (833,043) 983,720	1,816,763 (1,035,804) 780,959	1,816,763 (1,230,314) 586,449
Annual Depreciation	65,192	80,425	70,012	59,599	49,186	39,048	29,322
Add Depreciation for Plant Placed in Service before 1995	12,651	12,651	12,651	12,651	12,651	12,651	12,651
Total Annual Depreciation for Ratemaking Purposes	77,843	93,076	82,663	72,250	61,837	51,699	41,973

Ravenues: Sewer Fees: (a) Cottonwood Residents
<u>Jen</u> 20,634
Feb 20,709
Mar 25,056
<u>Apr</u> 19,793
May 22,191
<u>اسا</u> 22,902
山山 23,576
<u>A</u> ug 25,643
Ѕе р 26,978
0d 24,722
Nov 22,783
26,090
2001 Total 281,077
1000

Add Back: Depreciation Expense Amortization Expense	Net income	Total Expenses	·	Amortization - Deferred Rate Case Cost Total Office and Overhead	Debt Service - Interest	Debt Service - principal	Membership Dues	Accounting / Professional Services Licenses & Fees	Printing & Reproduction	Office Supplies Postage & Delivery	Health Insurance	Contract Clerical Payroli Taxes	Contract Management	Contract Office Expenses Bill Processing Fee (7.5%)	Office and Owerhead:	Net Results From Operations	Com Operating Experience	Total Operating Eventure	Treatment Plant	Equipment	Repair & Maintenance:	Trash Removal	Water for Plant	Telephone	Electricity for Pump Stations	Statement of Earnings Fee to TRA	Income Taxes	Property Taxes	Liability Insurance	Chlorine	Plant Supplies	Waste Water Treatment Operator	Expenses: Operating:	Total Revenues	Interest Income Other		(d) Walnut Grove Elem. School (e) River Landing Residents	(c) Miscellaneous Residents	(a) Cottonwood Residents (b) Legends Ridge Residents	Revenues: Sewer Fees:	
B,021 167	-5,656	29,089		9.891		00	110	210	350	o 26	0 1	250	1,000	1 758		4,236	13,130	10 100	259	389 407	ļ	30 5	2,000	100	, 000 000		5,432		ر د د	1,000	1,000 150	1,800		23,433		i	787 43	171	20,634		Jan.
6,021 167	273	23,200		9.434		00	0	210	0 0	o 26	0	250	1,000	1 780		9,707	13,700	137 26	259	389		3 8	2,000	1 00	, 60 60		0 0	0	3,150 0	1,000	50 60 60	1,800		23,473		1	788 86	17.1	20,709	ı	Feb
6,021 167	2,476	25,481	1	10.725		00		33.700	٠.	1 26	0 (250	1,000	3 0 9 7		13,201	14,/30	137	259	389		3 8 8	2,000	1 00 0		990	00	0	3,150 0	1,000	1,000 150	1,800		27,957		i	789 155	207	25,056	١	Mar
6,021 167	-11,113	33,942		6,021 167 11,176		00	0	2,000	0 0	26	0 (250	1,000	4 712		83	22,700	77 700	259	389		30 s	2,000	1 8	3,000	0	- 0	9,000	3,150 0	1,000	1,000	1,800		22,829			790 14	1,878	19,793	ł	Ð
6,021 167	1,844	25,263		11.497		00	0	2,000	0 0	o 26	0	250	1,000	2033		13,340	13,/66	135	259	389		<u>8</u> 5	2,000	1	3,000 60		5 6		3,150	1,000	1,000	1,800		27,106		į	3 79	183	22,191	ļ	Mey
6,021 167	5,190	23,514	3.	9.748	0		0	- 0	٥:	1 28	00	250	1,000	2 153		14,937	13,788	135	259	389		3 8 a	2,000	5 8	3,000 80	0		0 1	3, 15 0	1,000	1,000	1,800		28,703		į	792 426	189	22,902	ŀ	<u>L</u>
6,021 167	4,837	23,343		6,021 167 9,577	0	- 0	0 0		00	26	0 0	250	1,000			14,414	13,766	135	259	389	;	3 8 8	2,000	1 8	3,000	0.0	- 0	0 (3,150	1,000	500	1,800		28,180	į	ş	793 478	3,080 195	23,576	Ė	Ė
6,021 167	7,742	23,579	9,010	6,021 167	0 (- 0	D 0		0 0	26	0 0	250	1,000	0		17,555	13,766	135	259	389	į	30 16	2,000	i 8	3,000	0 0			3,150	1,000	, 5 6	1,800		31,321	ĺ	8	794	3,983 212	25,643	į	<u>Aug</u>
6,021 167	7,949	23,819	10,002	6,021 167	0 0	- 0	75		ء -	28	00	250	1,000	200		18,001	13,767	135	259	390	9	3 8	2,000	8 8	3,000 80	0 0		0 0	3,150	1,000	1,000	1,800		31,768		Ş	795	2,936 223	26,978	į	Seg
6,021 167	1,697	29,576	9,000	6,021 167	00	.	0 0		0 0	, 26 66	00	250	1,000	0		11,506	19,767	135	259	390	Ş	ಕೆ ಕ	2,000	88	3,000	0 0	. 0	0	3,150	, 000 2		1,800		31,273		998	796	4,682 204	24,722	ķ	8
6,021 167	4,075	23,456	5,009	6,021 167	0 0		00		0 0	186	0 0	250	1,000			13,764	13,767	135	259	390	á	3 6	2,000	1 8	3,000	00		0 0	3,150	, 00 00	1,000	1,800		27,531		9	797	2, 869 188	22,783	ķ	Nov
6,021 167	7,027	24,421	10,034	6,021 187	0 0		00		706	26	0 0	250	1,000	0		17,681	13,767	135	259	390	6	3 6	2,000	3 8	3,000	0 0	• •	00	3,150	00 5	1,000	1,800		31,448		1,070	798	3,266 216	26,090	į	D
72,250 2,004	26,341 65,123 373,807	308,683	122,000	72,250 2,004	00		185	5,120	1.050	472	0 0	3,000	12,000			148,406	186,618	1,620	4,884 3,108	4,672	6,000	3 192	24,000	1 200	36,000	980	5,432	9,000	37,800	12,000	12,000	21,600		335,024		0,130	9,510	35,978 2,323	281,077	io	2002 Total

Add Back: Depreciation Expense Amortization Expense	Rate of Return (Rate Base * 8%) Revenue Needed	Total Expenses	Amortization - Deferred Rate Case Cost Total Office and Overhead	Debt Service - interest Debt Service - interest Debt 8 Facionals	Miscellaneous Deb Service	Licenses & Fees	Accounting / Professional Services	Postage & Delivery	Health insurance Office Supplies	Payroll Taxes	Contract Management Contract Clerical	Bill Processing Fee (7.5%)	Office and Overhead:	Net Results From Operations	Total Operating Expenses	Pump Station	Equipment Treatment Plant	Building	Trash Removal	Water for Pump Stations	Telephone Water for Dispri	Electricity for Pump Stations	Statement of Earnings Fee to TRA	Income Taxes	Property Taxes	Sludge Disposel	Chlorine	Laboratory Analysis Plant Sunolies	Operating: Waste Water Treatment Operator	Expenses:	Total Revenues	Interest Income Other	(e) River Landing Residents	(c) Miscellaneous Residents (d) Walnut Grove Elem. School	(a) Cottorwood Residents (b) Legends Ridge Residents	Sewer Fees:	Davissi Ing.	
5,153 167	4,788	28,221	9,024	,			210	250	28 o	0	3,00 35 36	1,758	•	4,236	19,198	135	259 259	389	300	16	3 6	60		0,432	,	3,150 0	1,000	1,000	1,800		23,433		ŧ	171 787	1,799	3	Jen	
5,153 167	1,140	22,333	5,153 167 8,567	,			210	. 0	26 O		,,00 50	1,760	•	9,707	13,786	135	259 259	389	300	16	88	88	3.	0 0	. 0	3,150 0	1,000	1,000	1,800		23,473		8	171 788	1,719		Feb.	
5,153 167	3,344	24,613	9,857			333	700	31	26 0		1.000 25.000	2,097	•	13,201	14,758	135	259	389	300) 16	3 6	9. 80	3 98	0 0		3,150 0	1,000	1,000 500	1,800		27,957		155	207 789	25,056 1,750		Mar	
5,153 167	-10,245	33,074	167 10,308				2,000	. 0	26 o	0	1,000 250	1,712	,	63	22,766	135	407 259	389	300	16	30	5,00 80	30	0 0	9,000	3,150 0	1,000	.i. 2000	1,800		22,829		204	790 790	19,793	;	₽	Based on Of
5,153 167	2,711	24,395	10,629			00	2,000		26 o	0	1,000 250	2,033	•	13,340	13,766	135	407 259	389	300	16	100	3,000 60	30	0 0	00	3,150 0	1,000	1,000	1,800		27,106		321	183 791	22,191 3,620		May	Based on Proposed Rate Structure Of .005766 per Gallon
5,153 167	6,057	22,646	5,153 167 8,880				0 0	31	26 0	٥.	1,000 250	2,153	,	14,937	13,766	135	250 250	389	300	16	8	3,000 60	3		0	3,150 0	1,000	1,000	1,800		28,703		426	189 792	22,902 4,394		<u>L</u>	e Structure allon
5,153 167	5,704	22,478	5,153 167 8,710			• •	00	. 0	26 O	0	7,00 25	2,114	,	14,414	13,766	135	250 250	389	300	16	. 3	800	300	00		3,150 0	1,000	1,000	1,800		28,180		536	795 793	23,576 3,080		Ē	
5,153 167	8,610	22,711	5,153 167 8,945				00	00	28 o	0 20		0 2,349	,	17,555	13,766	135	2407	389	300	16	8	3,000 60		00		3,150	1,000	1,000	1,800		31,321		689	212 794	25,643 3,983		<u>A</u>	
5,153 167	8,817	22,952	5,153 167 9,185	00	. 0 3		00	131	80	0 20	1,000	0 2,383)	18,001	13,767	135	407 250	390	300	2,000 16	8	3,000 60		0 0	0.	3,150	1,000	1.000	1.800		31,768		836	795	26,978 2,936		Seg	
5,153 167	2,565	28,709	5,153 167 8,942	00			00	!	ž 0	0 0	1,000	0 2,345	,	11,506	19,767	135	407	390	300	2,000	100	3,000 80		00	0	3,150	, 000	1,000	1.800		31,273		888	796	24,722 4,682		12	
5,153 167	4,943	22,588	5,153 167 8,821	00	00		00	0	# 86 0	٥ ٥	1,000	2,065	ı	13,764	13,767	135	407	390	300	2,000	10 1	3,000 80		9 0		3,150	, 000	1,000	1.800		27,531		894	188 797	22,783 2,869		No	
5,153 167	7,895	23,554	5,153 167 9,787	00	00	0	o 700	132) က်	000	1,000	0 2,359	ı	17,681	13,767	135	407	390	300	2,000 16	100	3,08 80 88		- 0	0 0	3,150	.i.	1,000	1.800		31,448		1,078	216 798	26,090 3.286		Dec	
61,837 2,004	36,754 43,080 341,350	298,270	61,837 2,004 111,653	00	185 0	333	1,050 5.120	525	4 70	3,000 0	12,000	0 25,127		148,406	186,618	1,620	4,884	4,672	3,600	24,000 197	1,200	36,000 720	86 .	5, 4 32	9,000	37,800	12,000	12,000	21.800		335,024		6,136	2,323	281,077 35,978		2003 Total	

Lynnwood Utility District 2004 Profit and Loss Projection Based on Proposed Rate Structure Of .005766 per Gallon

Add Back: Depreciation Expense Amortization Expense	Rate of Return (Rate Base * 8%) Revenue Needed	Total Expenses	Total Office and Overhead	Depreciation - Plant & Equipment Amortization - Deferred Rate Case Cost	Debt Service - interest	Miscellaneous Debt Service - principal	Membership Dues	Licenses & Fees	Accounting / Professional Services	Postage & Delivery	Office Supplies	Health Insurance	Contract Clerical	Contract Management	Office and Overhead: Contract Office Expenses Rill Propossing Fee (7 5%)	Net Results From Operations	Total Operating Expenses	Pump Station	Equipment Treatment Plant	Building	Repair & Maintenance:	Water for Pump Stations	Water for Plant	Telephone	Electricity for Plant	Statement of Earnings Fee to TRA	State Franchise & Excise Laxes	Property Taxes	Liability Insurance	Chlorine Sludge Disposal	Plant Supplies	Waste Water Treatment Operator Laboratory Analysis	Operating:	Evenyenag	Total Revenues	Interest Income Other	(e) river Landing Respends	(d) Walnut Grove Elem. School	(c) Miscellaneous Residents	(a) Cottonwood Residents	Revenues:	
4,308 167	-3,943	27,376	8,179	4,308 167	0	00	110	0	210	20	26	0 0	250	, , , , , ,	1 759	4,236	19,198	135	407 259	389	900	3 8	2,000	3 8	3,000	0 0	5,432 0	0	0	3 1,000	50	1,800			23,433		*3	787	1,799 171	20,634	ļ	Jan
4,308 167	1,985	21,488	7,722	4,308 167	0	00	, 0	0 !	210	0	26	0 0	250	1,000	1 780	9,707	13,766	135	259 259	389	ú	3 6	2,000	3 8	3,000	0 (0	3 1,00 5 00	15	1,800 000			23,473		g	788	1,719 171	20,709	l	Ē
4,308 167	4,189	23,768	9,012	4,308 167	0	0 0	, 0	333	7000	131	26	0 0	250	1,000	0 0	13,201	14,756	135	25 A	389	300	3 8	2,000	38	3,000	98 6		0	0	1,000	150	1,800			27,957		100	789	1,750 207	25,056	ı	Mer
4,308 167	-9,400	32,229	9,463	4,308 167	0	0 0	, 0	0	9000	. 0	26	00	250	1,000	0	63	22,786	135	259	389	300	3 6	2,000	3 8	3,000	0 0	0 0	9,000	0	1,000	150	1,800			22,829		204	790	1,878	19,793	1	Agr
4,308 167	3,556	23,550	9,784	4,308 167	0 1	00	, 0	0	8	. 0	26	0 0	250	1,000	30	13,340	13,766	135	259 259	389	300	3 8	2,000	3 8	3,000	00		0	0 5	1,000	<u>1</u>	1,800			27,106		387	791	3,620	22,191	l	May
4,308 167	6,902	21,801	8,035	4,308 167	0 ;	00	, 0	0 0	o c	131	26	0 0	250	1,000		14,937	13,766	135	3407 2407	389	300	3 16	2,000	3 8	3,000	00	00	0	0	1,000	15 o	1,38 8 8			28,703		426	792	4,394 189	22,902	ŀ	y n
4,308 167	6,549	21,631	7,865	4,308 167	0 (00	, 0	0 0		. 0	26	0 0	250	1,000		14,414	13,766	135	407 250	389	300	, 6	2,000	3 8	3,000	00		0	0	1,000	150	1,80			28,180		536	793	3,080	23,576	į	Ē
4,308 167	9,454	21,866	8,100	4,308 167	0 (0 0	, 0	0 0		. 0	26	00	250	1,000	320	17,555	13,766	135	2607	389	300	6	2,000	3 8	3,000	00		0	ب د د	1,000	150	1,800			31,321		689	794	3,983 212	25,643	į	A S
4,308 167	9,662	22,107	8,340	4,308 167	0 (00	, 75	0 0		131	26	0 0	250	1,000	3 0	18,001	13,767	135	3 40 6 97	390	300	3 16	2,000	38	3,000	0 0		0	, o ē	1.00	150	1,800			31,768		836	795	2,936	26,978	į	S S S
4,308 167	3,410	27,864	8,097	4,308 167	۰ ۵	00	, 0	0 0	o a	Þ	26	0 0	250	1,000	3 60	11,506	19,767	135	266 266	390	300	3 6	2,000	3 8	3,000	0 0	0	0	8 c,	1,000	150	1,800			31,273		96	796	,682 282	24,722	ļ	o a
4,308 167	5,788	21,743	7,976	4,308 167	۰ ،	00	0	00		0	186	o e	250	1,000	9	13,764	13,767	135	407	390	300	6	2,000	3 8	3,000	0 0	• •	0	ب د د	1,000	15 26	1,800			27,531		894	797	2,869	22,783	į	V
4,308 167	8,739	22,709	8,942	4,308 167	0 (- 0	0	00	700	132	26	o c	250	1,000		17,681	13,767	135	407	390	300	16	2,000	38	3,000	0 0	. 0	0	ب ق و	1,000	15 50	1,800			31,448		1,078	798	3,266 216	26,090	į	g R
51,899 2,004	46,892 22,185 310,318	288,132	101,515	51,699 2,004	۰ ،	00	185	333	1,050	525	472	9 6	3,000	12,000		148,406	186,618	1,100	4,884	4,672	3,600	192	24,000	720	36,000	98 -	5,432	9,000	6000	12,000	1,800	21,600			335,024		6,136	9,510	35,978	281,077	İ	2004 Total

Add Back: Depreciation Expense Amortization Expense	Net income Rate of Return (Rate Base * 5%) Revenue Needed	Total Expenses		Amortization - Deferred Rate Case Cost Total Office and Overhead	Depreciation - Plant & Equipment	Debt Service - principal	Miscellaneous	Membership Dues	Licenses & Fees	Arrainting / Professional Services	Postage & Delivery	Office Supplies	Health Insurance	Payroli Taxes	Contract Clarical	Bill Processing Fee (7.5%)	Office and Overhead: Contract Office Expenses	Net Results From Operations	Total Operating Expenses	Pump Station	Treatment Plant	Building	Repair & Maintenance:	Trash Removal	Water for Plant	Telephone	Electricity for Pump Stations	Statement of Earnings Fee to TRA	Income Taxes	State Franchise & Excise Taxes	Liability Insurance	Sludge Disposal	Plant Supplies Chlorine	Laboratory Analysis	Operating: Waste Water Treatment Operator	Expenses:		Interest Income Other Total Bassause		(d) Walnut Grove Elem. School (e) River Landing Residents		(a) Cottonwood Residents (b) Legends Ridge Residents	Sewer Fees:	
3,498 167	-3,132	26,566		167 7,368	3.498		. 0	110	0 6	210	3	26	0	0 20	1,000	1,758	0	4,236	19,198	135	259	389		30 -	2,000	100	900.00	30	0	5,432		3,150	8 8	1,000	200		60,400	22.429	ŧ	787 43	171	20,634 1,799		jan
3,498 167	2,796	20,677		167	3.498		0	0	0 6	3		26	0	. 8	1,000 250	1,760	0	9,707	13,766	135	259	389		3 8 8	2,000	100	g S	3 0	0	00		3,150	8 8	1,000	88		20,710	77.450	ş	788 86	171	20,709 1,719		Feb
3,498 167	4,999	22,958		167 8.202	3.498		. 0	0	333	700	131	26	0	. 6	1,000	2,097	0	13,201	14,756	135	259	389	;	30 a	2,000	100	e 5	3 98	0	00		3,150	3 5	1,000	1860		21,501	27 067	Ę	789 155	207	25,056 1,750		Mar
3,498 167	-8,590	31,419		167	3 498	00	. 0	0	0	3 3 6	• •	26	0 (0 0	1,000	1,712	0	63	22,766	135	259	389	;	30 6	2,000	8	, 000, 000, 000, 000, 000, 000, 000, 0	3.	0	9,000 0	80	3,150	1 150	1,000	38		22,023	979 676	5	2 790 24 24	16.	19,793 1,878		B er
3,498 167	4,367	22,740		167	3 498	. 0	0	0 1	0,000	3	. 0	26	0 0	- è	1,000	2,033	0	13,340	13,766	135	259	389	į	3 6	2,000	1	3,000	300	0	0 0		3,150	150	, ,000	Ē		27,100	27 406	32.	3 29	183	22,191 3,620		May
3,498 167	7,713	20,990		167	3 408		0	0 1	0 6		131	26	0 0	6	.00	2,153	0	14,937	13,766	135	259	389	9	30 6	2,000	1	, 900		0	0 0	. 0	3,150	150	1,000	3		20,703	201	420	192 198	189	22,902 4 394		
3,498 167	7,360	20,820		167	30% C	. 0	0	0	0 0		0	26	0 (. 20	1,000	2,114	0	14,414	13,766	135	259	389		3 16	2,000	100	3,000 80		0	0 0	. 0	3,150	8 8	1,000	Ŝ		20,100	200	0,50	793 536	195	23,576 3,080		Ē
3,498 167	10,265	21,056		167	3 408		0	0 (5 C	• •	. 0	26	0 0	200	1,000	2,349	0	17,555	13,766	135	259	389	9	ž	2,000	1	3,000		0	5 6	. 0	3,150	3 55	1,000	1 800		31,321	24 22	004	794	212	25,643 3 983		<u>Aug</u>
3,498 167	10,472	21,296		167	3 60 6	. 0	0	75	0 0		1 31	26	0 0	250	1,000	2,383	0	18,001	13,767	135	259	390	000	3 8	2,000	1	3,000		0 (5 C	. 0	3,150	5	1,000	8		31,/68	2	000	795	223	26,978		Seg
3,498 167	4,220	27,053		167	3 408	. 0	0	0 0	5 C		. 0	26	0 0	250	1,000	2,345	0	11,506	18,767	135	259	390	6	3 6	2,000	3 8	3,000		0	o c	6,000	3,150	156	1,000	Š		31,273		800	796	204	24,722		8
3,498 167	6,598	20,933		7 186	3 40 0		0	0	0 0	, 0	. 0	186	0 6	250	1,000	2,065	•	13,764	13,767	135	259	390	ć	3 6	2,000	3 8	3,000	0	0 '	.	0	3,150	. 150	1,000	8		27,531		9	797	188	22,783 2 960		Nov
3,498 167	9,550	21,898	0,101	167	3 400	0	0	0 0	5 C	700	132	26	0 0	250	1,000	2,359	0	17,681	13,767	135	259	390	500	3 6	2,000	i 8	3,000	0	0 0			3,150	150	.1. 000	8		31,448		1,0/0	798	216	26,090		Dec
41,973 2,004	56,618 2,639 281,046	278,406	01,100	2,004	073		0	18 55	5,120 333	1,050	525	472	0 0	3,000	12,000	25,127	5	148,406	186,618	1,620	3 108	4,672	1,000	3 192	24,000	1,200	36,000	980	0	5,000	6,000	37,800	1,800	12,000	8		335,024		6,136	9,510	2,323	281,077		2005 Total

Lynnwood Utility District 2005 Profit and Loss Projection Based on Proposed Rate Structure Of .005766 per Gallon